

United States Patent and Trademark Office Adams COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS Fol. Bug 1450 Alexanira, Vegena 22313-1450 www.upto.grv

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
10/775,215	02/11/2004	Ohler L. Kinney JR.	87280.1772	9970

7590 06/28/2004

Baker & Hostetler LLP Washington Square, Suite 1100 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 EXAMINER BUSHEY, CHARLES S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1724

DATE MAILED 06/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		10/775,215		KINNEY ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Scott Bushey		1724	
Period f					
THE - Extended - If the - Factor	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, notions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 ** 151 (i) (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for righty specified above in this Exhant thirty (50 days), a rep- plicated for righty specified above the measurum statisticy period of period for righty is specified above. The measurum statisticy period replication of the specified above. The measurum statisticy period replication of the specified above. The specified is set in the replication of the specified above. The specified is set in the replication of the specified above. The specified is set in the specified are specified as the specified above. The specified is specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified as the specified a	138(a) In no event, howe by within the sletutory min will apply and will expire 5 a. cause the goolcation to	ver, may a reply be fin mum of thirty (30) day IX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely the melling date of this communication ID 035 U.S. \$ 123)	
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2-11	-04 (preliminary a	mendment).		
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	action is non-fina	i.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows	nce except for for	mal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1	935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 14-27 is/are pending in the application	in.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considera	ition.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) 14-27 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiren	nent.		
Applicat	tion Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) obj	ected to by the	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	n abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the	drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the	attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All by Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documen 2 Certified copies of the priority documen 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea	ts have been rece ts have been rece wity documents ha u (PCT Rule 17.2)	ved. ved in Applicat ve been receiv a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified co	pies not receiv	ed.	
Attachme	ntia				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗆	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Noti	co of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	_	Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/775,215 Art Unit: 1724

Election/Restrictions

- Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claim 14. drawn to a combination, classified in class 261, subclass 110.
 - Claims 15-17, drawn to an assembly process, classified in class 29, subclass 428.
 - Claims 18-23, drawn to a molding process, classified in class 264, subclass 311.
- IV. Claims 24-27, drawn to a subdividing process, classified in class 83, subclass 13.
 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(fn)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as one in which the frame used is a non-metallic material.
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product, such as one without a fill unit.
- 4. Inventions IV and 1 are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

Application/Control Number: 10/775,215

Art Unit: 1724

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as a multi-step molding process wherein the components are made in separate molded pieces and later fabricated.

- 5. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation and different effects.
- 6. Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation and different effects.
- 7. Inventions III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation and different effects.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Figs. 1-6; and

Species B : Figs. 7-12.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an
election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR
1.143).

- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
 examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is (571) 272-1153. The
 examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine R. Copenheaver can be reached on (571) 272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR
system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov, Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR
system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

csb 6-7-04

6-7-04